arXiv:1609.08930v1 [math.AP] 27 Sep 2016

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR THERMOMICROPOLAR FLUID

GRZEGORZ ŁUKASZEWICZ AND JAKUB SIEMIANOWSKI

1. Introduction

The theory of micropolar fluids is a generalization of the Navier-Stokes model in the sense that it takes into account the microstructure of the fluid. The theory is expected to provide a mathematical model for the non-Newtonian fluid behaviour observed in certain fluids such as polymers, colloidal fluids, liquid crystals, blood, ferro liquid, real fluids with suspensions, which is more realistic. It was introduced by Eringen in [4]. The theory of thermomicropolar fluids, proposed by Eringen in [5], extends the theory of micropolar fluid by including the heat conduction and heat dissipation effects.

2. Formulation of the problem

We consider a certain simplification of the two-dimensional thermomicropolar fluid equations introduced in [5]. The governing system of equations describing thermomicropolar fluids, after nondimentionalization, is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(u_t+(u\cdot\nabla)\,u\right)+\nabla p &= \Delta u+2N^2\mathrm{rot}\,\omega+e_2\mathrm{Ra}T,\\ \mathrm{div}\,u &= 0,\\ \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\omega_t+(u\cdot\nabla)\,\omega\right)+4N^2\omega &= \frac{1}{L^2}\Delta\omega+2N^2\mathrm{rot}\,u,\\ T_t+u\cdot\nabla T &= \Delta T+D\mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla T, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $u=(u_1,u_2)$ is the velocity field, p is the pressure, ω is the microrotation and e_2 is the unit upward vector $(0,1)\in\mathbb{R}^2$. The positive constants $N^2<1$, L^2, D are related with viscosity coefficients, the Prandtl number Pr describes the relative importance of kinematic viscosity over thermal diffusivity and the Rayleigh number Ra measuring measuring the ratio of overall buoyancy force to the damping coefficients. To bring no confusion we explain

$$\mathrm{div}\,u=\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1}+\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2},\quad \mathrm{rot}\,u:=\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1}-\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2},\quad \mathrm{rot}\,\omega:=\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_2},-\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1}\right).$$

We assume that the fluids occupy the (nondimensionalized) region

$$\Omega_\infty:=(-\infty,\infty)\times(0,1).$$

The system (1) is equipped with the following boundary conditions

$$u=0\restriction_{x_2=0,1},\quad \omega\restriction_{x_2=0,1}=0,\quad T\restriction_{x_2=0}=1\quad\text{and}\quad T\restriction_{x_2=1}=0$$

with l-periodicity in the x_1-direction assumed. The initial conditions are

$$u\restriction_{t=0}=u_0,\quad \omega\restriction_{t=0}=\omega_0,\quad T\restriction_{t=0}=T_0\quad\text{for } x=(x_1,x_2)\in\Omega_\infty.$$

The system is a model for convection of micropolar fluids of a layer of fluids bounded by two horizontal one-dimensional parallel plates a distance h apart with the bottom heated at temeprature T_0 and the top cooled at temperature $T_1<T_0$. Therefore, the fluid motion is induced by differential heating.

3. Preliminaries

We define $\Omega \subset \Omega_\infty$ to be a rectangle box of length equal to the period

$$\Omega := (0, l) \times (0, 1)$$

and divide the boundary $\partial\Omega$ into two parts

$$\Gamma_h := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \partial\Omega \mid x_2 = 0, 1\},$$
$$\Gamma_l := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \partial\Omega \mid x_1 = 0 \text{ or } x_1 = l\}$$

We introduce

$$\widetilde{V}_S := \left\{u \in C^\infty(\Omega_\infty)^2 \mid \operatorname{div} u = 0,\ u \text{ is } l\text{-periodic in } x_1\text{-direction},\ u \restriction_{\Gamma_h} = 0 \text{ (as a limit)}\right\},$$
$$\widetilde{V} := \{\omega \in C^\infty(\Omega) \mid \omega \text{ is } l\text{-periodic in } x_1\text{-direction},\ \omega \restriction_{\Gamma_h} = 0\},$$
$$H_S := \text{ closure of } \widetilde{V}_S \text{ in } L^2(\Omega)^2,$$
$$H := L^2(\Omega), \text{ because } C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset \widetilde{V},$$
$$V_S := \text{ closure of } \widetilde{V}_S \text{ in } H^1(\Omega)^2 \text{ and}$$
$$V := \text{ closure of } \widetilde{V} \text{ in } H^1(\Omega).$$

Spaces H_i are Hilbert spaces with the inner products

$$(u, v) := (u, v)_{L^2} = \int_\Omega u(x) \cdot v(x) \mathrm{d}x, \quad u, v \in H_i,\ i = L, S.$$

We have the Poincaré inequality (see [9, pp. 51–52])

$$|v| \le k_1 \|v\|, \quad \text{for } v \in V, V_S.$$

Thus, V and V_S are Hilbert spaces when equipped with the inner product

$$((u, v)) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \quad u, v \in V_i,\ i = L, S.$$

We denote the corresponding norms by

$$|v| := (v, v)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } v \in H_i,\ i = L, S$$

and

$$\|v\| = ((v, v))^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } v \in V_i,\ i = L, S.$$

We define the standard trilinear forms (see [7] or [9])

$$b_S(u, v, w) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \int_\Omega u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} w_j \qquad \text{and} \qquad b(u, v, w) := \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_\Omega u_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} w.$$

We introduce the Laplace operator associated with our boundary conditions. Let

$$D(A) := \{v \in V \mid -\Delta v \in H\} \tag{2}$$

and define $A : D(A) \to H$ by

$$Au := -\Delta u, \quad u \in D(A).$$

Clearly, the eigenvectors $\{v_k\}_{k \ge 1} \subset D(A)$ of A forms the orthonomal basis of H and we have

$$Av_k = \beta_k v_k \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \beta_1 \le \beta_2 \le \ldots \le \beta_k \to \infty. \tag{3}$$

Since the domain Ω is a rectangle, these eigenvectors and eigenvalues can be explicitly determined: for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{nm} &= \left(\frac{2n\pi}{l}\right)^2 + (2m\pi)^2, \\ v_{nm} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}}\left[\sin\left(\frac{2n\pi}{l}x\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{l}x\right)\right]\sin(m\pi y). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It should be stressed that each v_{nm} belongs to $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$.[1] We can renumber them so that $(v_k)_{k\geq 1}$ satisfy (3).

Since we know the exact formulas for eigenfunctions we can prove the following results.

Theorem 3.1 (Regularity theorem)**.** *Let $f \in H$ and let $u \in D(A)$ satisfy*

$$Au = f. \tag{5}$$

Then $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C|f|$ for some constant $C > 0$. As a result, norms $\|u\|_{H^2}$ and $|Au|$ are equivalent on $D(A)$.

For our purposes, we introduce the fractional power of the Laplacian. Let

$$D(A^{3/2}) = \left\{ u \in H \mid u = \sum_{k\geq 1}(u, v_k)v_k \text{ (in } H), \sum_{k\geq 1}(u, v_k)^2\beta_k^3 < \infty \right\},$$

and define

$$A^{3/2}u = \sum_{k\geq 1}\beta_k^{3/2}(u, v_k)v_k.$$

To make $D(A^{3/2})$ a Hilbert space we equip it with the inner product

$$(u, v)_{D(A^{3/2})} = (A^{3/2}u, A^{3/2}v)$$

which gives the corresponding norm

$$\|u\|_{D(A^{3/2})} = |A^{3/2}u|.$$

Theorem 3.2. *We have*

$$D(A^{3/2}) \subset H^3(\Omega)$$

and norms $\|\cdot\|_{H^3}$, $\|\cdot\|_{D(A^{3/2})}$ are equivalent on $D(A^{3/2})$.

Now, we introduce the Stokes operator A_S, see [7] or [9] for details. Let

$$D(A_S) := \{u \in V_S \mid \exists w \in H_S,\ \forall \varphi \in V_S\ (w, \varphi) = ((u, \varphi))\}$$

and define

$$A_S(u) = w.$$

It is known that $A_S = -P\Delta$, where P stands for the Helmholtz–Leray projector from $L^2(\Omega)^2$ onto H_S.

We present two versions of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality — see [1, Thm 5.8]:

$$\|v\|_{L^4} \leq k_2|v|^{1/2}\|v\|_{H^1}^{1/2}, \qquad \text{for } v \in H^1(\Omega), \tag{6}$$

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq k_3|v|^{1/2}\|v\|_{H^2}^{1/2}, \qquad \text{for } v \in H^2(\Omega). \tag{7}$$

Combinig (6) with the Poincaré inequlity yields the so-called Ladyzhenskaya's inequality

$$\|v\|_{L^4} \leq k_4|v|^{1/2}\|v\|^{1/2}, \qquad \text{for } v \in V,\ V_S, \tag{8}$$

[1] This means $v_{nm} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and each derivative $D^\alpha v_{nm}$ admits continuous extnsion to $\overline{\Omega}$.

and combining (6) with Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^4} \le k_5 \|v\|^{1/2} |Av|^{1/2}, \qquad v \in D(A). \tag{9}$$

Similarly, combining (7) with the regularity theorems for the Laplace (Theorem 3.1) operator and for the Stokes operator (see [2, pp. 835–836]) we get

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty} \le k_6 |v|^{1/2} |Av|^{1/2}, \qquad \text{for } v \in D(A), \tag{10}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \le k_7 |u|^{1/2} |A_S u|^{1/2}, \qquad \text{for } u \in D(A_S). \tag{11}$$

4. Initial data in L^2

Following a traditional approach, we change the temperature equation from (1) so that the perturbative variable will satisfy the homogeneous boundary conditions:

$$\theta := T - (1 - x_2).$$

We also change the pressure p to $p - \mathrm{Ra}(x_2 - x_2^2)$ in the velocity equation in (1). These transforms (1) into

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}} \left(u_t + (u \cdot \nabla) u \right) + \nabla p = \Delta u + 2N^2 \mathrm{rot}\, \omega + e_2 \mathrm{Ra} \theta, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathrm{div}\, u = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}} \left(\omega_t + (u \cdot \nabla) \omega \right) + 4N^2 \omega = \frac{1}{L^2} \Delta \omega + 2N^2 \mathrm{rot}\, u, \tag{14}$$

$$\theta_t + u \cdot \nabla \theta = \Delta \theta + D \mathrm{rot}\, \omega \cdot \nabla \theta + D \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_1} + u_2 \tag{15}$$

on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, equipped with the boundary conditions

$$u \restriction_{\Gamma_h} = 0, \quad \omega \restriction_{\Gamma_h} = 0, \quad \theta \restriction_{\Gamma_h} = 0 \tag{16}$$

and periodicity in the horizontal direction. The initial conditions now read

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad \omega(0) = \omega_0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta_0 = T_0 - (1 - x_2). \tag{17}$$

Definition 4.1. Let $T > 0$, $u_0 \in H_S$, $\omega_0 \in H$ and $\theta_0 \in H$. By a weak solution of problems (12)–(17) we mean a triple of functions (u, ω, θ),

$$\begin{aligned} &u \in L^2(0,T;V_S) \cap C([0,T],H_S) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V_S^*), \\ &\omega \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap C([0,T],H) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*), \\ &\theta \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;D(A^{3/2})^*) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

such that $u(0) = u_0$, $\omega(0) = \omega_0$, $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ and satisfying the following identities

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}} \left(\frac{d}{dt} (u(t), \varphi) + b_S(u(t), u(t), \varphi) \right) + (\nabla u(t), \nabla \varphi) = 2N^2 (\mathrm{rot}\, \omega(t), \varphi) + \mathrm{Ra}(\theta(t) e_2, \varphi)$$

for every $\varphi \in V_S$,

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}} \left(\frac{d}{dt} (\omega(t), \psi) + b(u(t), \omega(t), \psi) \right) + 4N^2 (\omega(t), \psi) + \frac{1}{L^2} (\nabla \omega(t), \nabla \psi) = 2N^2 (\mathrm{rot}\, u(t), \psi)$$

for every $\psi \in V$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\theta(t), \eta) + b(u(t), \theta(t), \eta) + (\nabla \theta(t), \nabla \eta) = -D(\theta(t), \mathrm{rot}\, \omega(t) \cdot \nabla \eta) + D \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_1}(t), \eta \right) + (u_2, \eta)$$

for every $\eta \in D(A^{3/2})$, in the sense of scalar distributions on $(0, T)$.

Remark 4.2. Identifying H with its dual H^* via the Riesz isomorphism leads to the following embeddings

$$D(A^{3/2}) \subset D(A) \subset V \subset H \simeq H^* \subset V^* \subset D(A)^* \subset D(A^{3/2})^*.$$

Because $\theta \in W^{1,2}(0,T;D(A^{3/2})^*)$ implies $\theta \in C([0,T],D(A^{3/2})^*)$, the condition $\theta(0)=\theta_0$ is meaningful.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $u_0 \in H_S$, ω_0, $\theta_0 \in H$, and $T>0$. There is a weak solution (u,ω,θ) to (12)–(17).*

Proof. In what follows we use the so-called Galerkin approximations of (12)—(15). As it is standard, we will show only the a priori estimates. The reader unfamiliar with this technique is encouraged to see [7] or [9].

Take the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of (12) and u, and integrate over Ω

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u,u\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b_S(u,u,u)+(A_Su,u)=2N^2\left(\mathrm{rot}\,\omega,u\right)+\mathrm{Ra}\left(\theta e_2,u\right) \tag{19}$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u,u\right)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|u|^2, \qquad (A_Su,u)=\|u\|^2.$$

Since

$$b_S(u,v,w)=-b_S(u,w,v), \quad u,v,w\in V_S,$$

we obtain

$$b_S(u,u,u)=0. \tag{20}$$

We integrate by parts, use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Young's inequality

$$2N^2\left(\mathrm{rot}\,\omega,u\right)=2N^2\left(\omega,\mathrm{rot}\,u\right)\le 2N^2|\omega||\mathrm{rot}\,u|\le 2N^2|\omega|^2+\frac{N^2}{2}|\mathrm{rot}\,u|^2 \tag{21}$$

and, because of $\mathrm{div}\,u=0$, we get

$$\mathrm{rot}\,\mathrm{rot}\,u=-\Delta u.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,\omega,u)\le 2N^2|\omega|^2+\frac{N^2}{2}\|u\|^2,$$

and similarly

$$\mathrm{Ra}\left(\theta e_2,u\right)\le \mathrm{Ra}|\theta||u|\le \frac{k_1^2\mathrm{Ra}}{2(1-N^2)}|\theta|^2+\frac{1-N^2}{2k_1^2\mathrm{Ra}}|u|^2\le \frac{k_1^2\mathrm{Ra}}{2(1-N^2)}|\theta|^2+\frac{1-N^2}{2\mathrm{Ra}}\|u\|^2, \tag{22}$$

where we used the Poincaré inequality. As a result (19) turns into

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\frac{d}{dt}|u|^2+\|u\|^2\le 4N^2|\omega|^2+\frac{k_1^2\mathrm{Ra}^2}{1-N^2}|\theta|^2. \tag{23}$$

Multiply (14) by ω and integrate over Ω

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega,\omega\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b(u,\omega,\omega)+4N^2|\omega|^2+\frac{1}{L^2}\left(A\omega,\omega\right)=2N^2\left(\mathrm{rot}\,u,\omega\right). \tag{24}$$

As above, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega,\omega\right)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|\omega|^2, \qquad b(u,\omega,\omega)=0, \qquad (A\omega,\omega)=\|\omega\|^2.$$

and

$$2N^2\left(\mathrm{rot}\,u,\omega\right)\le 2N^2|\mathrm{rot}\,u||\omega|\le 2N^2|\omega|^2+\frac{N^2}{2}\|u\|^2.$$

From the above and (24), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{Pr}\frac{d}{dt}|\omega|^2+\frac{2}{L^2}\|\omega\|^2+4N^2|\omega|^2\le N^2\|u\|^2. \tag{25}$$

Multiply (15) by θ and integrate over Ω

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta,\theta\right)+b(u,\theta,\theta)+(A\theta,\theta)=D\int_\Omega(\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta)\theta+D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1},\theta\right)+(u_2,\theta)\,. \tag{26}$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta,\theta\right)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left|\theta\right|^2,\qquad b(u,\theta,\theta)=0,\qquad (A\theta,\theta)=\|\theta\|^2,$$

and we integrate by parts to get

$$\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1},\theta\right)=\left(\omega,\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x_1}\right)\le|\omega|\left|\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x_1}\right|\le|\omega|\|\theta\|\le D|\omega|^2+\frac{1}{4D}\|\theta\|^2.$$

We estimate

$$(u_2,\theta)\le|(u)_2||\theta|\le|u||\theta|\le|u|^2+\frac{1}{4}|\theta|^2.$$

We assume for the moment that θ is smooth enough so that the integral

$$\int_\Omega(\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta)\,\theta$$

is well-defined. In fact, we estimate the Galerkin-Faedo approximations $\theta_m(x,t)=\sum_{j=1}^m\theta_{mj}(t)v_j(x)$ of θ which are of class $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ with respect to the varibale x, see (4). Integrating by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega(\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta)\,\theta&=\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\omega,-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\omega\right)\cdot\nabla\left(\theta^2\right)\\&=-\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\omega\operatorname{rot}\left(\nabla\left(\theta^2\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Omega}\omega\left(\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x_1}\theta n_2-\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x_2}\theta n_1\right)\mathrm{d}S=:I_1+I_2\end{aligned}$$

The symmetry of second derivatives implies $I_1=0$. Since each $\dfrac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_2}$ is l-periodic in x_1 (see (4)), the boundary conditions yields $I_2=0$. Therefore, (26) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left|\theta\right|^2+\|\theta\|^2\le2D^2|\omega|^2+2|u|^2. \tag{27}$$

Add (23), (25) and (27)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(|u|^2+|\omega|^2+|\theta|^2\right)+c_2\left(\|u\|^2+\|\omega\|^2+\|\theta\|^2\right)\le c_3\left(|u|^2+|\omega|^2+|\theta|^2\right), \tag{28}$$

where

$$c_2:=\min\{(1-N^2)\mathrm{Pr},2\mathrm{Pr}/L^2,1\}\quad\text{and}\quad c_3:=\max\{(\mathrm{Ra}^2\mathrm{Pr})/(1-N^2),2D^2,2\}.$$

Write

$$y(t)=|u(t)|^2+|\omega(t)|^2+|\theta(t)|^2\qquad\text{and}\qquad\alpha(t)=\|u(t)\|^2+\|\omega(t)\|^2+\|\theta(t)\|^2$$

Multiply (28) by $\exp(-c_3t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(y(t)e^{-c_3t}\right)+c_2e^{-c_3t}\alpha(t)\le0$$

and integrate from 0 to some $s>0$

$$y(s)+c_2\int_0^s e^{c_3(s-t)}\alpha(t)\mathrm{d}t\le e^{c_3s}y(0).$$

Recall that

$$y(0)=(\le)\left|u_0\right|^2+\left|\omega_0\right|^2+\left|\theta_0\right|^2.$$

Fix $T>0$, the above inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&u\in L^\infty(0,T;H_S)\cap L^2(0,T;V_S),\\&\omega,\ \theta\in L^\infty(0,T;H)\cap L^2(0,T;V)\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H_S)} + \|\omega\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H)} + \|\theta\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H)} &\le e^{c_3 T}\left(|u_0|^2 + |\omega_0|^2 + |\theta_0|^2\right),\\ \|u\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V_S)} + \|\omega\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)} + \|\theta\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)} &\le \frac{e^{c_3 T}}{c_2}\left(|u_0|^2 + |\omega_0|^2 + |\theta_0|^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

In order to prove the continuity of the functions

$$[0,T] \ni t \mapsto u(t) \in H_S \quad \text{and} \quad [0,T] \ni t \mapsto \omega(t) \in H$$

it is enough to show that $\frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;V_S^*)$ and $\frac{d\omega}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$, see [6, Thm 3, Chapter 5.9]. We will show that $\frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;V_S^*)$, the proof that $\frac{d\omega}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;V)$ is similar. From (12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}(u\cdot\nabla)u + \Delta u + 2N^2 \mathrm{rot}\,\omega + \mathrm{Ra}\theta e_2.$$

Take $v \in L^2(0,T;V_S)$ then we have

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{dt}, v \right\rangle_{V_S} = -b_S(u,u,v) - \mathrm{Pr}((u,v)) + 2\mathrm{Pr}N^2(rot\omega, v) + \mathrm{RaPr}(\theta e_2, v). \tag{31}$$

We use the inequality (8) to show that

$$|b_S(u,u,v)| = |b_S(u,v,u)| \le \|u\|_{L^4}\|v\|\|u\|_{L^4} \le k_4^2|u|\|u\|\|v\|.$$

From (31) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T \left\langle \frac{du}{dt}, v \right\rangle_{V_S} \le{}& k_4^2\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H_S)}\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;V_S)}\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;V_S)} + \mathrm{Pr}\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;V_S)}\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;V_S)}\\ &+ 2k_1\mathrm{Pr}N^2\|\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;V_S)} + k_1\mathrm{RaPr}\|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;V_S)},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Poincaré inequality in the last two terms. Hence and by (29), we have

$$\left\|\frac{du}{dt}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;V_S^*)} \le C,$$

where C depends only on Ω, u_0, ω_0, θ_0 and $T > 0$.

Recall that we have

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = -u\cdot\nabla\theta + \Delta\theta + D\mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla\theta + D\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1} + u_2. \tag{32}$$

We show that $\frac{d\theta}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;D(A^{3/2}))$. Every term from the right-hand side of (32) may be bounded in $L^2(0,T;V^*)$ as in (31) except from

$$D\mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla\theta.$$

We write $X := D(A^{3/2})$ for short. Take $\varphi \in X$, integrate by parts and apply Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned}{}_{X^*}\langle \mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla\theta, \varphi\rangle_X = \int_\Omega (\mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla\theta)\,\varphi = -\int_\Omega (\mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla\varphi)\,\theta\\ \le |\theta|\left(\int_\Omega |\mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla\varphi|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le |\theta|\|\omega\|\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 3.2, $\nabla\varphi \in H^2(\Omega)^2$ so the Sobolev embedding yields

$$\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le \tilde{C}\|\nabla\varphi\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le \tilde{C}\|\varphi\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \le C\|\varphi\|_X, \tag{33}$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 3.2. Summing up, we have

$$ {}_{X^*}\langle \operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta,\varphi\rangle_X \le C|\theta|\|\omega\|\|\varphi\|_X $$

so

$$ \|\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta\|_{X^*}\le C|\theta|\|\omega\|. $$

Therefore, we have

$$ \|\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;X^*)}=\left(\int_0^T\|\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta\|_{X^*}^2\right)^{\frac12}\le C\left(\int_0^T|\theta|^2\|\omega\|^2\right)^{\frac12} \le C\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}. $$

The continuous embeddings $V^*\subset X^*$ yields the continuous embedding

$$ L^2(0,T;V^*)\subset L^2(0,T;X^*) $$

and all the bounds on the components of (32) made in the space $L^2(0,T;V^*)$ are valid in the space $L^2(0,T;X^*)$. As a result, we get

$$ \left\|\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;X^*)}\le C, $$

where C depends only on Ω, $T>0$ and initial conditions (17). □

5. Initial data in H^1

Definition 5.1. Let $T>0$, $u_0\in V_S$, $\omega_0\in V$ and $\theta_0\in V$. By a strong solution of problems (12)–(17) we mean a triple of functions (u,ω,θ),

$$ u\in L^2(0,T;D(A_S))\cap C([0,T],V_S)\cap W^{1,2}(0,T;H_S), $$
$$ \omega,\ \theta\in L^2(0,T;D(A))\cap C([0,T],V)\cap W^{1,2}(0,T;H), $$

such that $u(0)=u_0$, $\omega(0)=\omega_0$, $\theta(0)=\theta_0$ and satisfying the following identities

$$ \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{d}{dt}(u(t),\varphi)+b_S(u(t),u(t),\varphi)\right)+(-\Delta u(t),\varphi)=2N^2(\operatorname{rot}\omega(t),\varphi)+\mathrm{Ra}(\theta(t)e_2,\varphi) $$

for every $\varphi\in H_S$,

$$ \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{d}{dt}(\omega(t),\psi)+b(u(t),\omega(t),\psi)\right)+4N^2(\omega(t),\psi)+\frac{1}{L^2}(-\Delta\omega(t),\psi)=2N^2(\operatorname{rot}u(t),\psi) $$

for every $\psi\in H$,

$$ \frac{d}{dt}(\theta(t),\eta)+b(u(t),\theta(t),\eta)+(-\Delta\theta(t),\eta)=-D(\operatorname{rot}\omega(t)\cdot\nabla\theta,\eta)+(u_2,\eta)+D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1}(t),\eta\right) $$

for every $\eta\in H$, in the sense of scalar distributions on $(0,T)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $u_0\in V_S$, $\omega_0\in V$, $\theta_0\in V$ and $T>0$. There is a unique strong solution $(u(t),\omega(t),\theta(t))$ of (12)–(17). Moreover, the strong solution depends continuously on the initial data, namely the following map is continuous*

$$ V_S\times V\times V\ni(u_0,\omega_0,\theta_0)\mapsto(u,\omega,\theta)\in C([0,T],V_S\times V\times V). $$

Proof. The existence of solutions is based on the Galerkin-Faedo approximations as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Since the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 are stronger than those of Theorem 4.3, we may assume that ω, $\theta\in L^\infty(0,T;H)\cap L^2(0,T;V)$.

First, we focus on u and ω equations. Multiply (12) by A_Su and integrate over Ω

$$ \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u,A_Su\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b_S(u,u,A_Su)+|A_Su|^2=2N^2\left(\operatorname{rot}\omega,A_Su\right)+\mathrm{Ra}\left(\theta e_2,A_Su\right). \tag{34} $$

By (11) and by Hölder's inequality with $(\infty, 2, 2)$ rates we have

$$\mathrm{Pr}^{-1}|b_S(u,u,A_Su)| \le \mathrm{Pr}^{-1}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|u\||A_Su| \le k_7\mathrm{Pr}^{-1}|u|^{1/2}\|u\||A_Su|^{3/2} \le \frac{1}{6}|A_Su|^2 + c_1|u|^2\|u\|^4,$$

where we used Young's inequality. We estimate

$$2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,\omega, A_Su) \le 2N^2|\mathrm{rot}\,\omega||A_Su| \le \frac{1}{6}|A_Su|^2 + c_2\|\omega\|^2,$$

$$\mathrm{Ra}(\theta e_2, A_Su) \le \mathrm{Ra}|\theta||A_Su| \le \frac{1}{6}|A_Su|^2 + c_3|\theta|^2.$$

From the above estimates and from (34) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2 + \mathrm{Pr}|A_Su|^2 \le 2\mathrm{Pr}\left(c_1|u|^2\|u\|^4 + c_2\|\omega\|^2 + c_3|\theta|^2\right). \tag{35}$$

Multiply (14) by $A\omega$ and integrate over Ω

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega, A\omega\right) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b(u,\omega,A\omega) + 4N^2(\omega, A\omega) + \frac{1}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 = 2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,u, A\omega). \tag{36}$$

Hölder's inequality, (8), (9) and Young's inequality yield

$$|b(u,\omega,A\omega)| \le \|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^4}|A\omega| \le k_4k_5|u|^{1/2}\|u\|^{1/2}\|\omega\|^{1/2}|A\omega|^{3/2} \le \frac{1}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + c_4|u|^2\|u\|^2\|\omega\|^2.$$

We have

$$2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,u, A\omega) \le 2N^2|\mathrm{rot}\,u||A\omega| \le \frac{1}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + c_5\|u\|^2.$$

Hence, we may transform (36) into

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\omega\|^2 + 8N^2\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 \le 2\mathrm{Pr}\left(c_4|u|^2\|u\|^2\|\omega\|^2 + c_5\|u\|^2\right). \tag{37}$$

We add (35) and (37) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|u\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2\right) + \mathrm{Pr}|A_Su|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 \\ \le C_1\left(|u|^2\|u\|^4 + \|\omega\|^2 + |\theta|^2 + |u|^2\|u\|^2\|\omega\|^2 + \|u\|^2\right),\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $C_1 := 2\mathrm{Pr}\cdot\max_{i=1\ldots5}\{c_i\}$. We drop out the terms $|A_Su|^2$ and $|A\omega|^2$ in (38), and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|u\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2\right) \le C_1\left(\|u\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2\right)\left(|u|^2\|u\|^2 + |u|^2\|\omega\|^2 + 1\right) + C_1|\theta|^2. \tag{39}$$

Let us denote

$$y(t) := \|u(t)\|^2 + \|\omega(t)\|^2, \qquad \alpha(t) := C_1|\theta(t)|^2,$$
$$\beta(t) := C_1\left(|u(t)|^2\|u(t)\|^2 + |u(t)|^2\|\omega(t)\|^2 + 1\right),$$

then (39) turns into

$$y'(s) \le \alpha(s) + \beta(s)y(s). \tag{40}$$

Since α, $\beta \in L^1(0,T)$, we multiply (40) by $\exp\left(-\int_0^s \beta(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)$ and integrate from 0 to t

$$y(t) \le y(0)\exp\left(\int_0^t \beta(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right) + \int_0^t \alpha(s)\exp\left(\int_s^t \beta(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\mathrm{d}s \qquad t \in [0,T]. \tag{41}$$

The right hand-side of (41) is bounded, for every $t \in [0,T]$, so

$$u \in L^\infty(0,T;V_S) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \omega \in L^\infty(0,T;V). \tag{42}$$

Now, we integrate (38) from 0 to T and get

$$\int_0^T \mathrm{Pr}|A_Su|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 \le C, \tag{43}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ depending only on Ω, $T > 0$ and intial data. Thus

$$u \in L^2(0,T;D(A_S)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \omega \in L^2(0,T;D(A)).$$

Let us consider θ equation. Multiply (15) by $A\theta$ and integrate over Ω

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta, A\theta\right) + b(u,\theta,A\theta) + |A\theta|^2 = D\int_\Omega (\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta)A\theta + D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1}, A\theta\right) + (u_2, A\theta). \tag{44}$$

Proceeding as above, we have

$$|b(u,\theta,A\theta)| \le \|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^4}|A\theta|^2 \le k_4k_5|u|^{1/2}\|u\|^{1/2}\|\theta\|^{1/2}|A\theta|^{3/2} \le \frac{1}{8}|A\theta|^2 + c_7|u|^2\|u\|^2\|\theta\|^2,$$

$$D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1}, A\theta\right) \le D\|\omega\||A\theta| \le \frac{1}{8}|A\theta|^2 + c_8\|\omega\|^2,$$

$$(u_2, A\theta) \le |u||A\theta| \le \frac{1}{8}|A\theta|^2 + c_9|u|^2.$$

We use Hölder's inequality with $(4,4,2)$ rates, (9) and Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} D\int_\Omega (\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta)A\theta &\le D\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^4}|A\theta| \\ &\le Dk_5^2\|\omega\|^{1/2}|A\omega|^{1/2}\|\theta\|^{1/2}|A\theta|^{3/2} \le \frac{1}{8}|A\theta|^2 + c_{10}\|\omega\|^2|A\omega|^2\|\theta\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The equation (44) is transformed into

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta\|^2 + |A\theta|^2 \le C_2\left(|u|^2\|u\|^2\|\theta\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2|A\omega|^2\|\theta\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2 + |u|^2\right), \tag{46}$$

where $C_2 := 2\max_{i=7,8,9,10}\{c_i\}$. We argue as in (41) and (43) to obtain

$$\theta \in L^\infty(0,T;V)\cap L^2(0,T;D(A)).$$

We will show that $\dfrac{d\theta}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;H)$. By [6, Thm 3, Chapter 5.9], since $\theta \in L^2(0,T;D(A))$, this yields $\theta \in C([0,T],V)$ (the same reasoning for u and θ). We only show $\dfrac{d\theta}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;H)$ because u and ω cases are similar. From (15) we have

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -u\cdot\nabla\theta - A\theta + D\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta + D\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1} + u_2. \tag{47}$$

We use (8) and (9) to get

$$|u\cdot\nabla\theta| \le \|u\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^4}^2 \le k_4k_5\|u\|^2\|\theta\||A\theta|$$

and, similarly,

$$|\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta| \le \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^4}^2 \le k_5^2\|\omega\||A\omega|\|\theta\||A\theta|.$$

From (47) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} &\le \left(\int_0^T |u\cdot\nabla\theta|^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_0^T |\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\theta|^2\right)^{1/2} + \|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} \\ &\quad + D\|\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H_S)} \\ &\le C\big(\|u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;V_S)}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}\|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} \\ &\quad + \|\omega\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}\|\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;D(A))}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}\|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} \\ &\quad + \|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} + \|\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H_S)}\big), \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable $C > 0$. Thus $\dfrac{d\theta}{dt}$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;H)$.

Now, we show that the solution is unique and depends continuously on initial data. Let $(\overline{u}_0, \overline{\omega}_0, \overline{\theta}_0)$ and $(\widehat{u}_0, \widehat{\omega}_0, \widehat{\theta}_0)$ be two inital states, and $(\overline{u}, \overline{\omega}, \overline{\theta})$, $(\widehat{u}, \widehat{\omega}, \widehat{\theta})$ be two corresponding strong solutions. If we set $(u, \omega, \theta) = (\overline{u} - \widehat{u}, \overline{\omega} - \widehat{\omega}, \overline{\theta} - \widehat{\theta})$, then (u, ω, θ) satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\frac{du}{dt} - \Delta u + \nabla p = 2N^2 \mathrm{rot}\,\omega + \mathrm{Ra}\theta e_2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}(\overline{u}\cdot\nabla)\overline{u} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}(\widehat{u}\cdot\nabla)\widehat{u}, \tag{48}$$

$$\mathrm{div}\, u = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\frac{d\omega}{dt} + 4N^2\omega - \frac{1}{L^2}\Delta\omega = 2N^2 \mathrm{rot}\, u - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\overline{u}\cdot\nabla\overline{\omega} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\widehat{u}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\omega}, \tag{49}$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} - \Delta\theta = D\mathrm{rot}\,\overline{\omega}\cdot\nabla\overline{\theta} - D\mathrm{rot}\,\widehat{\omega}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\theta} + D\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1} + u_2 - \overline{u}\cdot\nabla\overline{\theta} + \widehat{u}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\theta}. \tag{50}$$

We have

$$(\overline{u}\cdot\nabla)\overline{u} - (\widehat{u}\cdot\nabla)\widehat{u} = (\widehat{u}\cdot\nabla)u + (u\cdot\nabla)\overline{u},$$

so by multiplying the equation (48) by $A_S u$ and integrating over Ω we get

$$\frac{1}{2\mathrm{Pr}}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2 + |A_S u|^2 = 2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,\omega, A_S u) + \mathrm{Ra}(\theta e_2, A_S u) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b_S(\widehat{u}, u, A_S u) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b_S(u, \overline{u}, A_S u).$$

We estimate the right hand-side (term by term) like before

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2 + 2\mathrm{Pr}|A_S u|^2 \\
&\quad\le \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4}|A_S u|^2 + d_1\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4}|A_S u|^2 + \widehat{d_2}|\theta|^2 + C|A_S\widehat{u}|\|u\||A_S u| + k_7|u|^{1/2}\|\overline{u}\||A_S u|^{3/2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{2}|A_S u|^2 + d_1\|\omega\|^2 + d_2\|\theta\|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4}|A_S u|^2 + d_3|A_S\widehat{u}|^2\|u\|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4}|A_S u|^2 + \widehat{d_4}|u|^2\|\overline{u}\|^4 \\
&\quad\le \mathrm{Pr}|A_S u|^2 + d_1\|\omega\|^2 + d_2\|\theta\|^2 + d_3|A_S\widehat{u}|^2\|u\|^2 + d_4\|u\|^2\|\overline{u}\|^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where we used the embedding $D(A_S) \subset L^\infty$ and switching from $\widehat{d_i}$ to d_i means that the Poincaré inequality was used.

We multiply (49) by $A\omega$ and integrate over Ω

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{2\mathrm{Pr}}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 + 8N^2\mathrm{Pr}\|\omega\|^2 = 4N^2\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{rot}\,u, A\omega) - 2b(\widehat{u}, \omega, A\omega) - 2b(u, \overline{\omega}, A\omega).$$

We estimate as before

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{2\mathrm{Pr}}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 + 8N^2\mathrm{Pr}\|\omega\|^2 \\
&\qquad\le \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + d_5\|u\|^2 + C|A_S\widehat{u}|\|\omega\||A\omega| + C\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\overline{\omega}\|_{L^4}|A\omega| \\
&\quad\le \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + d_5\|u\|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + d_6|A_S\widehat{u}|^2\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + d_7\|u\|^2|A\overline{\omega}|^2 \\
&\qquad= \frac{3\mathrm{Pr}}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + d_5\|u\|^2 + d_6|A_S\widehat{u}|^2\|\omega\|^2 + d_7\|u\|^2|A\overline{\omega}|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where we used the embeddings $D(A_S) \subset L^\infty$, $H^1 \subset L^4$ and regularity theorems.

Similarly, we multiply (50) by $A\theta$ and integrate over Ω

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta\|^2 + |A\theta|^2 &= D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1}, A\theta\right) + 2(u_2, A\theta) \\
&\quad + D\int_\Omega (\mathrm{rot}\,\omega\cdot\nabla\overline{\theta})A\theta + D\int_\Omega (\mathrm{rot}\,\widehat{\omega}\cdot\nabla\theta)A\theta - b(\widehat{u}, \theta, A\theta) - b(u, \overline{\theta}, A\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate as above

$$
\begin{aligned}
(53)\quad \frac{d}{dt}\|\theta\|^2 + 2|A\theta|^2 &\le \frac{1}{6}|A\theta|^2 + d_8\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|A\theta|^2 + \widehat{d}_9|u|^2 \\
&\quad + C\|\omega\|^{1/2}|A\omega|^{1/2}\|\overline{\theta}\|^{1/2}|A\overline{\theta}|^{1/2}|A\theta| + C\|\widehat{\omega}\|^{1/2}|A\widehat{\omega}|^{1/2}\|\theta\|^{1/2}|A\theta|^{3/2} \\
&\quad + 2\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\overline{\theta}\|_{L^4}|A\theta| + C|A_S\widehat{u}|\|\theta\||A\theta| \\
&\le \frac{1}{3}|A\theta|^2 + d_8\|\omega\|^2 + d_9\|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|A\theta|^2 + C\|\omega\||A\omega|\|\overline{\theta}\||A\overline{\theta}| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6}|A\theta|^2 + d_{11}\|\widehat{\omega}\|^2|A\widehat{\omega}|^2\|\theta\|^2 + C\|u\||A\overline{\theta}||A\theta| + \frac{1}{6}|A\theta|^2 + d_{13}|A_S\widehat{u}|^2\|\theta\|^2 \\
&\le |A\theta|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + d_8\|\omega\|^2 + d_9\|u\|^2 + d_{10}\|\omega\|^2\|\overline{\theta}\|^2|A\overline{\theta}|^2 + d_{11}\|\widehat{\omega}\|^2|A\widehat{\omega}|^2\|\theta\|^2 \\
&\quad + d_{12}\|u\|^2|A\overline{\theta}|^2 + d_{13}|A_S\widehat{u}|^2\|\theta\|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the embeddings $H^1 \subset L^4$, $D(A) \subset L^\infty$ and regularity results. We add (51), (52) and (53) and make some simple calculations

$$
\begin{aligned}
(54)\quad &\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|u\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2\right) + \mathrm{Pr}|A_Su|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{Pr}}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 + 8N^2\mathrm{Pr}\|\omega\|^2 + |A\theta|^2 \\
&\le D\left(\|u\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2\right)\left(|A_S\widehat{u}|^2 + \|\overline{u}\|^4 + |A\overline{\omega}|^2 + |A\overline{\theta}|^2 + \|\overline{\theta}\|^2|A\overline{\theta}|^2 + \|\widehat{\omega}\|^2|A\widehat{\omega}|^2 + 2\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where D is the maximum of $d_1, \dots, d_{13}$.

Let us denote

$$
y := \|u\|^2 + \|\omega\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2
$$

and

$$
\alpha := D\left(|A_S\widehat{u}|^2 + \|\overline{u}\|^4 + |A\overline{\omega}|^2 + |A\overline{\theta}|^2 + \|\overline{\theta}\|^2|A\overline{\theta}|^2 + \|\widehat{\omega}\|^2|A\widehat{\omega}|^2 + 2\right).
$$

Omitting some terms on the left hand-side of (54) yields

$$
y'(t) \le \alpha(t)y(t)
$$

and so

$$
(55)\qquad y(t) \le y(0)\exp\int_0^T \alpha(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \in [0,T].
$$

Using (30), (41), (43) and (46) we may find functions g_1, $g_2 : \mathbb{R}^3 \to [0,\infty)$ which map bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}^3$ onto bounded subsets of $[0,\infty)$, g_1, g_2 are increasing with respect to each variable and satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;V_S)} + \|\omega\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;V)} + \|\theta\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;V)} &\le g_1(\|u_0\|, \|\omega_0\|, \|\theta_0\|), \\
\|u\|^2_{L^2(0,T;D(A_S))} + \|\omega\|^2_{L^2(0,T;D(A))} + \|\theta\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V)} &\le g_2(\|u_0\|, \|\omega_0\|, \|\theta_0\|),
\end{aligned}
\qquad (56)
$$

where (u,ω,θ) is a strong solution starting at (u_0,ω_0,θ_0). We use the regularity theorem for Stokes operator

$$
\|\overline{u}\|^2 \le \|\overline{u}\|^2_{H^2} \le C|A_Su|^2
$$

to get

$$
\alpha \le C\left(\|\overline{u}\|^2 + \|\overline{\theta}\|^2 + \|\widehat{\omega}\|^2 + 1\right)\left(|A_S\widehat{u}|^2 + |A\overline{\omega}|^2 + |A\widehat{\omega}|^2 + |A\overline{\theta}|^2 + 1\right).
$$

We write $\widehat{g}_1$ instead of $g_1(\|\widehat{u}_0\|, \|\widehat{\omega}_0\|, \|\widehat{\theta}_0\|)$ for short and symbols $\overline{g}_1$, $\widehat{g}_2$, $\overline{g}_2$ have similar meanings. By (55) and (56), we have

$$
(57)\quad \|u(t)\|^2 + \|\omega(t)\|^2 + \|\theta(t)\|^2 \le \left(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\omega_0\|^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2\right)\exp\left(C\left(T + \overline{g}_1 + \widehat{g}_1\right)\left(\overline{g}_2 + \widehat{g}_2 + T\right)\right).
$$

When $\overline{u}_0 = \widehat{u}_0$, $\overline{\omega}_0 = \widehat{\omega}_0$ and $\overline{\theta}_0 = \widehat{\theta}_0$, (57) implies that the strong solution is unique.

To prove continuous dependence on initial data take $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(\overline{u}_0, \overline{\omega}_0, \overline{\theta}_0) \in V_S \times V \times V$. Let us denote

$$M := \max\left\{g_1\left(\|\overline{u}_0\| + 1, \|\overline{\omega}_0\| + 1, \|\overline{\theta}_0\| + 1\right), g_2\left(\|\overline{u}_0\| + 1, \|\overline{\omega}_0\| + 1, \|\overline{\theta}_0\| + 1\right)\right\} + T$$

and put

$$\delta := \min\left\{1, \varepsilon \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}C\left(4M^2\right)\right)\right\}.$$

If

$$\|(\overline{u}_0, \overline{\omega}_0, \overline{\theta}_0) - (\widehat{u}_0, \widehat{\omega}_0, \widehat{\theta}_0)\|_{V_S \times V \times V} < \delta$$

then

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u(t)\|^2 + \|\omega(t)\|^2 + \|\theta(t)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2. \qquad \square$$

6. Mixed initial conditions

Theorem 6.1. *Let $T > 0$, $u_0 \in H_S$, $\theta_0 \in H$ and $\omega_0 \in V$. There is a solution (u, ω, θ) to (12)–(17) in the sense that*

$$u \in L^2(0,T;V_S) \cap C([0,T], H_S) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V_S^*),$$
$$\omega \in L^2(0,T;D(A)) \cap C([0,T], V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;H),$$
$$\theta \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap C([0,T];H) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*)$$

such that $u(0) = u_0$, $\omega(0) = \omega_0$, $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ and satisfying the following identities

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{d}{dt}(u(t),\varphi) + b_S(u(t),u(t),\varphi)\right) + (\nabla u(t), \nabla\varphi) = 2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,\omega(t),\varphi) + \mathrm{Ra}(\theta(t)e_2,\varphi) \tag{58}$$

for every $\varphi \in V_S$,

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{d}{dt}(\omega(t),\psi) + b(u(t),\omega(t),\psi)\right) + 4N^2(\omega(t),\psi) + \frac{1}{L^2}(-\Delta\omega(t),\psi) = 2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,u(t),\psi) \tag{59}$$

for every $\psi \in H$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\theta(t),\eta) + b(u(t),\theta(t),\eta) + (\nabla\theta(t),\nabla\eta) = D(\theta(t), \mathrm{rot}\,\omega(t)\cdot\nabla\eta) + D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1}(t),\eta\right) + (u_2,\eta) \tag{60}$$

for every $\eta \in V$, in the sense of scalar distributions on $(0,T)$. Moreover, if $N^2L^2 < 1$ then such solution is unique and depends continuously on the initial conditions, i.e. the following map is continuous

$$H_S \times V \times H \ni (u_0, \omega_0, \theta_0) \mapsto (u, \omega, \theta) \in C([0,T], H_S \times V \times H).$$

Proof. The proof is similar in spirit to that of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.2. We restrict ourselves to present the sketch of the proof.

In view of Theorem 4.3, we know that (18) holds. First, we multiply (14) by $A\omega$ and integrate over Ω to get (37). We use the kind of Gronwall's type inequality like in (41) and obtain

$$\omega \in L^2(0,T;D(A)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;V).$$

Then we can show that $\dfrac{d\omega}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;H)$ by showing that each term of (14) belongs to $L^2(0,T;H)$. Indeed, let us just mark that

$$A\omega \in L^2(0,T;H)$$

and

$$u\cdot\nabla\omega \in L^2(0,T;H),$$

because

$$\|u\cdot\nabla\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H_S)}\|\omega\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}.$$

Now, we turn to θ equation. All we have to do is to show that $\dfrac{d\theta}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$. We focus our attention on proving that $\operatorname{rot}\omega \cdot \nabla\theta \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$, since all the other terms from the right hand-side of (32) belong to $L^2(0,T;V^*)$ in a standard way. Take $\varphi \in V$, we inegrate by parts, use Hölder's inequality (8) and (9)

$$ {}_V\langle \operatorname{rot}\omega \cdot \nabla\theta, \varphi\rangle_V = -\int_\Omega (\operatorname{rot}\omega \cdot \nabla\varphi)\theta \le \|\operatorname{rot}\omega\|_{L^4}\|\varphi\|\|\theta\|_{L^4} \le k_4 k_5 \|\omega\|^{1/2}|A\omega|^{1/2}|\theta|^{1/2}\|\theta\|^{1/2}\|\varphi\|.$$

Thus, we end with

$$\begin{aligned}\|\operatorname{rot}\omega \cdot \nabla\theta\|^2_{L^2(0,T;V^*)} &\le C\int_\Omega \|\omega\||A\omega||\theta|\|\theta\| \\ &\le C\|\omega\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)}\|\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;D(A))}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}\|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}\end{aligned}$$

what proves the regularity of solutions as claimed.

Now, let us assume that $N^2L^2 < 1$ and we have two solutions $(\overline{u},\overline{\omega},\overline{\theta})$ and $(\overline{u},\overline{\omega},\overline{\theta})$ (in the sense of Theorem 6.1) starting at $(\overline{u}_0,\overline{\omega}_0,\overline{\theta}_0)$ and $(\widehat{u}_0,\widehat{\omega}_0,\widehat{\theta}_0) \in H_S \times V \times H$, respectively. We set $(u,\omega,\theta) = (\overline{u}-\widehat{u},\overline{\omega}-\widehat{\omega},\overline{\theta}-\widehat{\theta})$ and from (58), (59) and (60) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(61)\quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(|u|^2+\|\omega\|^2\right)+|\theta|^2\right) + \|u\|^2 + 4N^2\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{1}{L^2}|A\omega|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 \\ = 2N^2(\operatorname{rot}\omega,u) + \mathrm{Ra}(\theta e_2,u) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b_S(u,\overline{u},u) \\ + 2N^2(\operatorname{rot}u,A\omega) - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(b(u,\overline{\omega},A\omega)+b(\widehat{u},\omega,A\omega)\right) \\ + D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1},\theta\right) + (u_2,\theta) + D\int_\Omega(\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\overline{\theta})\theta - b(u,\overline{\theta},\theta).\end{aligned}$$

We estimate every term of the rhs of (61)

$$2N^2(rot\omega,u) \le 4N^2\|\omega\|^2 + \frac{N^2}{4}|u|^2, \quad \mathrm{Ra}(\theta e_2,u) \le k_1\left(|\theta|^2+|u|^2\right),$$

$$-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b_S(u,\overline{u},u) \le \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\|u\|_{L^4}\|\overline{u}\|\|u\|_{L^4} \le C|u|\|u\|\|\overline{u}\| \le \frac{1-L^2N^2}{4}\|u\|^2 + k_2|u|^2\|\overline{u}\|^2,$$

$$2N^2(\operatorname{rot}u,A\omega) \le L^2N^2\|u\|^2 + \frac{N^2}{L^2}|A\omega|^2,$$

$$-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b(u,\overline{\omega},A\omega) \le \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\overline{\omega}\|_{L^4}|A\omega| \le C|u|^{1/2}\|u\|^{1/2}\|\overline{\omega}\|^{1/2}|A\overline{\omega}|^{1/2}|A\omega|$$

$$\le \frac{1-N^2}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + C'|u|\|u\|\|\overline{\omega}\||A\overline{\omega}| \le \frac{1-N^2}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + \frac{1-L^2N^2}{4}\|u\|^2 + k_3|u|^2\|\overline{\omega}\|^2|A\overline{\omega}|^2,$$

$$-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}b(\widehat{u},\omega,A\omega) \le C|\widehat{u}|^{1/2}\|\widehat{u}\|^{1/2}\|\omega\|^{1/2}|A\omega|^{3/2} \le \frac{1-N^2}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + k_4|\widehat{u}|^2\|\widehat{u}\|^2\|\omega\|^2,$$

$$D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1},\theta\right) \le k_5\left(\|\omega\|^2+|\theta|^2\right), \quad (u_2,\theta) \le k_6\left(|u|^2+|\theta|^2\right),$$

$$D\int_\Omega(\operatorname{rot}\omega\cdot\nabla\overline{\theta})\theta \le C\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^4}\|\overline{\theta}\|\|\theta\|_{L^4} \le 2\min\left\{\frac{1-N^2}{4L^2},\frac{1}{4}\right\}|A\omega|\|\theta\| + C\|\omega\||\theta|\|\overline{\theta}\|^2$$

$$\le \frac{1-N^2}{4L^2}|A\omega|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\theta\|^2 + k_7\left(\|\omega\|^2+|\theta|^2\right)\|\overline{\theta}\|^2,$$

$$-b(u,\overline{\theta},\theta) \le 2\frac{1-L^2N^2}{4}\|u\|\|\theta\| + C|u||\theta|\|\overline{\theta}\|^2 \le \frac{1-L^2N^2}{4}\|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\theta\|^2 + k_8\left(|u|^2+|\theta|^2\right)\|\overline{\theta}\|^2.$$

Hence and from (61) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(|u|^2+\|\omega\|^2+|\theta|^2\right)\le C\left(|u|^2+\|\omega\|^2+|\theta|^2\right)\left(1+\|\overline{u}\|^2+\|\overline{\omega}\|^2|A\overline{\omega}|^2+\|\overline{\theta}\|^2+|\widehat{u}|^2\|\widehat{u}\|^2\right),$$

for a suitable constant C, what proves the assertion. □

Theorem 6.2. *Let $T>0$, $u_0\in V_S$, $\omega_0\in V$ and $\theta_0\in H$. There is a unique solution (u,ω,θ) to (12)–(17) in the sense that*

$$u\in L^2(0,T;D(A_S))\cap C([0,T],V_S)\cap W^{1,2}(0,T;H_S),$$
$$\omega\in L^2(0,T;D(A))\cap C([0,T],V)\cap W^{1,2}(0,T;H),$$
$$\theta\in L^2(0,T;V)\cap C([0,T];H)\cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*)$$

such that $u(0)=u_0$, $\omega(0)=\omega_0$, $\theta(0)=\theta_0$ and satisfying the following identities

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{d}{dt}(u(t),\varphi)+b_S(u(t),u(t),\varphi)\right)+(-\Delta u(t),\varphi)=2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,\omega(t),\varphi)+\mathrm{Ra}(\theta(t)e_2,\varphi)$$

for every $\varphi\in H_S$,

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Pr}}\left(\frac{d}{dt}(\omega(t),\psi)+b(u(t),\omega(t),\psi)\right)+4N^2(\omega(t),\psi)+\frac{1}{L^2}(-\Delta\omega(t),\psi)=2N^2(\mathrm{rot}\,u(t),\psi)$$

for every $\psi\in H$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\theta(t),\eta)+b(u(t),\theta(t),\eta)+(\nabla\theta(t),\nabla\eta)=D(\theta(t),\mathrm{rot}\,\omega(t)\cdot\nabla\eta)+D\left(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_1}(t),\eta\right)+(u_2,\eta)$$

for every $\eta\in V$, in the sense of scalar distributions on $(0,T)$. Moreover, the following map is continuous

$$V_S\times V\times H\ni(u_0,\omega_0,\theta_0)\mapsto(u,\omega,\theta)\in C([0,T],V_S\times V\times H).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of the previous theorems and so it is left to the reader. □

References

[1] Adams R. A., Fournier J. J., *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Amsterdam (2003).
[2] Boukrouche M., Łukaszewicz G., Real J., *On pullback attractors for a class of two-dimensional turbulent shear flows.*, Internat. J. Engrg. Sci. Vol. 44 (2006), 830–844.
[3] Brezis H. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York (2011).
[4] A. C. Eringen, *Theory of micropolar fluids*, J. Math. Mech. 16 (1966), 1–18.
[5] A. C. Eringen, *Theory of thermomicrofluids*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 38 (1972), 480–496.
[6] Evans L. C., *Partial Differential Equations*, Grad. Stud. Math. (1998).
[7] Robinson J. C., *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems*, Cambridge Texts Appl. Math. (2001).
[8] Simon J., *Compact Sets in the Space $L^p(0,T;B)$*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 146 (1987), 65–96.
[9] Temam R. *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, Appl. Math. Sci., Springer (1997).
[10] Temam R. *Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and numerical analysis.*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford(1977).

University of Warsaw, Mathematics Department, ul.Banacha 2, 02-957 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail address: glukasz@mimuw.edu.pl

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland
E-mail address: jsiem@mat.umk.pl